# Percolation noise at the metal-insulator transition of nanostructured VO<sub>2</sub> films<sup>a)</sup>

Zareh Topalian<sup>1</sup>, Shu-Yi Li<sup>1</sup>, Gunnar A. Niklasson<sup>1</sup>, Claes G. Granqvist<sup>1</sup> and Laszlo B. Kish<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Engineering Sciences, The Ångström Laboratory, Uppsala University, P. O. Box 534, SE-75121 Uppsala, Sweden

e-mail address: Claes-Goran.Granqvist@angstrom.uu.se

<sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3128, USA

e-mail address: Laszlokish@tamu.edu

# I. INTRODUCTION

Thermochromism in VO<sub>2</sub> is associated with a first-order metalinsulator transition (MIT) at  $T_c \approx 68 \, {}^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and VO<sub>2</sub> is capable of transforming between a low-temperature (monoclinic, M1) semiconducting state and a high-temperature (rutile, R) metalliclike state. Thin films are of intense current interest for numerous applications such as glazings for energy-efficient buildings and a large number of (opto)electronic, bolometric, and sensing devices.<sup>2–5</sup>

In single crystals of VO<sub>2</sub>, the MIT is characterized by sharp real-space phase boundaries between the R and M1 structures and by the fact that these boundaries can propagate along the crystallographic *c*-axes,<sup>6</sup> *i.e.*, the transition is non-percolating in nature. Thin films of VO<sub>2</sub> are normally distinctly different, however, and the MIT is gradual with metallic-like regions growing in extent as the sample temperature  $T_s$  approaches  $T_c$ from below and with semiconducting regions disappearing as  $T_s$ becomes increasingly larger than  $T_c$ .<sup>7,8-13</sup> The percolative character of the MIT in VO<sub>2</sub> films has been emphasized several times.<sup>9,14-17</sup> The percolation is not only of theoretical interest but also relevant for the energy-savings potential for VO<sub>2</sub>-type films used in energy-efficient fenestration.<sup>18</sup>

Percolation enhances macroscopic resistance fluctuations, as is well known,  $^{19}$  and such fluctuations have been investigated in some prior studies  $^{20-23}$  especially with regard to bolometer performance.

### **II. EXPERIMENTS**

VO<sub>2</sub> films with grain-like features at the 50 nm length scale were prepared by reactive DC magnetron sputtering onto heated sapphire substrates and were used to make 100-nm-thick samples that were 10  $\mu$ m wide and 100  $\mu$ m long.

The thermochromic properties of the VO<sub>2</sub> micro-bridge was verified by measurements of electrical resistance  $R_s$  during heating and cooling in the 20 <  $T_s$  < 80 °C interval. The temperature recording had to rest at least for 10 minutes at each setting in order to stabilize the  $T_s$  and resistance readings. Figure 1 shows that  $R_s$  changes by a factor ~2000 in the range between ~50 and ~70 °C and that the transition displays thermal hysteresis amounting to ~7 °C. The origin of the hysteresis may be stress build-up and release and associated effects of super- and subcooling. Energetically, this can be represented by a system of microscopic double-potential wells connected with pinning forces via interactions with the substrate.

After installing a home-made temperature control that provided about a million times less temperature fluctuations (in the nano-Kelvin range) than commercial units (in the milli-Kelvin range), we were able to measure power density spectra S(f) of resistance

#### UPON 2015, BARCELONA, JULY 13-17 2015

noise around  $T_c$  and to demonstrate unambiguous 1/f behavior, thus proving that the measured noise was not due to temperature fluctuations.



FIG. 1. Resistance hysteresis upon heating and cooling of a VO<sub>2</sub> film.<sup>a)</sup>



FIG. 2. Resistance noise spectrum of a VO<sub>2</sub> film. The data show 1/f-like performance even at the middle of the resistive transition.<sup>a)</sup>

At 10 Hz, we performed a scaling analysis of the normalized noise *versus* the resistance, where temperature was a hidden parameter. The normalized spectrum scaled as

$$\frac{S_R}{R_s^2} \propto R_s^x , \qquad (1)$$

where  $S_R$  is the resistance noise spectrum and  $R_s$  is the sample

resistance. The noise exponent x was -2.6 for  $T_s < T_c$  and +2.6 for  $T_s > T_c$ .



FIG. 3. Scaling plot of the normalized resistance noise spectrum for a VO<sub>2</sub> film.<sup>a)</sup>

## III. UNSOLVED PROBLEMS

Theoretical models and experiments of conductor–insulator and conductor–superconductor transitions fail to produce the empirical noise exponents at both<sup>19</sup> or one side<sup>24</sup> of the transition, except for the *Pennetta–Trefan–Reggiani* (PTR) model<sup>25</sup> where the positive exponent was empirically obtained by computer simulations<sup>25</sup> and

- <sup>a)</sup> The *non-UPoN* parts of this extended abstract are based on a recent paper by the same authors, J. Appl. Phys. **117**, 025303 (2015). (Authorized by copyright agreement).
- <sup>1</sup> F. J. Morin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **3**, 34 (1959).
- <sup>2</sup> Z. Chen, Y. Gao, L. Kang, C. Cao, S. Chen, and H. Luo, J. Mater. Chem. A 2, 2718 (2014).
- <sup>3</sup> C. G. Granqvist, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **32**, 060801 (2014).
- <sup>4</sup> S.-Y. Li, G. A. Niklasson, and C. G. Granqvist, J. Appl. Phys. 115, 053513 (2014).
- <sup>5</sup> M. E. A. Warwick and R. Binions, J. Mater. Chem. A **2**, 3275 (2014).
- <sup>6</sup> B. Mun, K. Chen, J. Yoon, C. Dejoie, N. Tamura, M. Kunz, Z. Liu, M. E. Grass, S.-K. Mo, C. Park, Y. Y. Lee, and H. Ju, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 113109 (2011).
- <sup>7</sup> See, for example, M. M. Qazilbash, M. Brehm, B.-G. Chae, P.-C. Ho, G. O. Andreev, B.-J. Kim, S. J. Yun, A. V. Balatsky, M. B. Maple, F. Keilmann, H.-T. Kim, and D. N. Basov, Science **318**, 1750 (2007).
- <sup>8</sup> P. U. Jepsen, B. M. Fischer, A. Thoman, H. Helm, J. Y. Suh, R. Lopez, and R. F. Haglund, Jr., Phys. Rev. B 74, 205103 (2006).
- <sup>9</sup> Y. J. Chang, J. S. Yang, Y. S. Kim, D. H. Kim, T. W. Noh, D.-W Kim, E. Oh, B. Kahng, and J.-S. Chung, Phys. Rev. B 76, 075118 (2007).
- <sup>10</sup> B.-J. Kim, Y. W. Lee, S. Choi, J.-W. Lim, S. J. Yun, H.-T. Kim, T.-J. Shin, and H.-S. Yun, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 235401 (2008).
- <sup>11</sup> K. L. Holman, T. M. McQueen, A. J. Williams, T. Klimczuk, P. W. Stephens, H. W. Zandbergen, Q. Xu, F. Ronning, and R. J. Cava, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 245114 (2009).
- <sup>12</sup> J.-G. Ramírez, A. Sharoni, Y. Dubi, M. E. Gómez, and I. K.

### UPON 2015, BARCELONA, JULY 13-17 2015

the negative exponent follows <sup>a</sup> from duality arguments in two dimensions. But there are open questions:

(*i*) How is the 1/f noise spectrum generated, especially near the percolation threshold, in such small systems?

(*ii*) Is there an analytic solution of the PTR model to produce these exponents?

(*iii*) Are the noise exponents universal in periodic lattices, or do they depend on the type of resistor lattice?

(*iv*) Which assumptions of the healing-recovering dynamics are essential to get these noise exponents?

(v) Is duality indeed enough to explain the negative exponent in two dimensions or extra assumptions are needed?

(vi) What are the exponents in three dimensions, *i.e.*, can we expect a dimensional crossover in thicker films?

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to Per Nordblad and Peter Svedlindh for their assistance with the ultra-low noise temperature control system. Hans-Olof Blom is thanked for guidance with sample preparation. Financial support was obtained from the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007–2013)/ERC Grant Agreement No. 267234 (GRINDOOR). LK's work in Sweden was partially founded by Texas A&M University.

- Schuller, Phys. Rev. B 79, 235110 (2009).
- <sup>13</sup> J. Kim, C. Ko, A. Frenzel, S. Ramanathan, and J. E. Hoffman, Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 213106 (2010).
- <sup>14</sup> J. Rozen, R. Lopez, R. F. Haglund, Jr., and L. C. Feldman, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 081902 (2006).
- <sup>15</sup> D. Ruzmetov, K. T. Zawilski, S. D. Senanayake, V. Narayanamurti, and S. Ramanathan, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 465204 (2008).
- <sup>16</sup> A. R. Gentle, G. B. Smith, and A. I. Maaroof, J. Nanophotonics **3**, 031505 (2009).
- <sup>17</sup> V. Sh. Aliev, S. G. Bortnikov, and I. A. Badmaeva, Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 132906 (2014).
- <sup>18</sup> M. E. A. Warwick, I. Ridley, and R. Binions, Energy Buildings 77, 80 (2014).
- <sup>19</sup> G. A. Garfunkel and M. B. Weissman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 296 (1985).
- <sup>20</sup> A. A. Velichko, G. B. Stefanovich, A. L. Pergament, and P. P. Boriskov, Pis'ma Tekh. Fiz. **29**(10), 82 (2003) [Tech. Phys. Lett. **29**, 435 (2003)].
- <sup>21</sup> N. Chi-Anh and S. Moon, Infrared Phys. Technol. **50**, 38 (2007).
- <sup>22</sup> G. Neto, L. A. L. de Almeida, A. M. N. Lima, C. S. Moreira, H. Neff, I. A. Khrebtov, and V. G. Malyarov, Opt. Eng. 47, 073603 (2008).
- <sup>23</sup> H. A. Basantani, S. Kozlowski, M.-Y. Lee, J. Li, E. C. Dickey, T. N. Jackson, S. S. N. Bharadwaja, and M. Horn, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 262108 (2012).
- <sup>24</sup> L. B. Kiss and P. Svedlindh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2817 (1993).
- <sup>25</sup> C. Pennetta, G. Trefán, and L. Reggiani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5238 (2000).